The foundamental parameters of X-ray telescopes

What happens

.. a X-ray source…

INPUTS
Source photons+
~~*Mirrors response+*~~
Detector response+
~~*All kinds of*~~
~~*Background s*~~

OUTPUTS
Images
Light Curves
Spectra

Take into account telescope response… and remaining bgds

…mirrors,
concentrators
limators on boar
tes..

ectors
Microcal., etc.)

Remove “some” backgrounds and malfunctioning

INPUTS
Source photons+
Mirrors response+
Detector response+
All kinds of
Background s

things to do

..since the birth of X-ray Astronomy in 1962, improvements were carried out in terms of
sensitivity, angular resolution, energy resolution
and energy bandpass

The Golden age of X-ray Astronomy

Chandra

XMM-*Newton*



Suzaku

Final note……

Sensitivity:

$$S/N = S/(S+B)^{0.5} \longrightarrow \propto t^{0.5}$$



$S^{0.5}$=Poisson Noise source counts

B_{sky}=Const x Sky region

$B_{dark\ current}$= Const x det. reg.

$B^2_{rea\text{-}out\,(electronic)}$= Const x det. Reg.

How to increase the sensitivity….

Increasing the collecting/effective Area

$S = F \times A_{eff}$

S/N increases……

(….but sometime also the bgd increases)

the ESA (XMM-Newton) way

Reducing the B.

S/N increases

the NASA (Chandra) way…

High Resolution Mirror Assembly (HRMA): On-axis PSF

Radius encompassing NN% of the counts as a function of the energy





Encircled energy vs. radius at different energies



On-axis PSF size and shape

High Resolution Mirror Assembly (HRMA): Off-axis PSF





CDF-N 2Ms exposure

Resulting image on the focal plane of ACIS



XMM-*Newton:* the EPIC on-axis PSF



spider-like pattern due to the support of the Wolter I mirrors

Mirror module	2	3	4
Instr. chain[a]	pn	MOS-1+RGS-1	MOS-2+RGS-2
	orbit/ground	orbit/ground	orbit/ground
FWHM [″]	$< 12.5^{b}$/6.6	4.3/6.0	4.4/4.5
HEW [″]	15.2/15.1	13.8/13.6	13.0/12.8

PSF FWHM higher than in *Chandra* but much larger effective area
Background (and confusion limit) can be an issue

XMM-*Newton:* the EPIC on-axis PSF



Encircled energy vs. radius
at different energies for the MOS1-2

Encircled energy vs. radius
at different energies for the pn



XMM-*Newton:* the EPIC off-axis PSF



90% radius (radius encompassing 90% of the incoming photons) vs. off-axis angle for the MOS1-2 at different energies

90% radius vs. off-axis angle for the pn at different energies



Chandra = angular resolution



Only four, robust shells
High-quality of shell production
to allow <arcsec on-axis angular resolution (the best so far in X-rays)

$$\vartheta_{crit} \propto \frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{E}$$

High Resolution Mirror Assembly (HRMA)



Ottica Wolter Type-I

Mirror diameters: 1.23, 0.99, 0.87 0.65 m

Mirror lengths: 84 cm

HRMA mass: 1500 kg

Focal length: 10 m

PSF FWHM: 0.5”

Chandra focal-plane detectors: CCDs



XMM-*Newton* = large effective area

3 modules, 58 shells



$$\vartheta_{crit} \propto \frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{E}$$



XMM-Newton: all instruments at work simultaneously





Wolter I solution





Full ir

pn CCD, ≈50% to the MOS1-2, the rest to the grating spectrometers (RGS)

Mirrors and Effective Area

$$A_{effective}(E,\_,x,y) \ll A_{geometric} \cong R(E) \cong V(E,\vec{\sigma}) \cong QE(E,x,y)$$

Effective area

Geometric Area

Reflectivity

Vignetting

Quantum Efficiency

Chandra: High Resolution Mirror Assembly (HRMA): Effective Area



Effective area vs. Energy



Effective area vs. off-axis angle at different energies

Effect of vignetting

$$\vartheta_{crit} \propto \frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{E}$$

Chandra: quantum efficiency



Chandra: effective area



Chandra: vignetting

Ratio of the off-axis vs. on-axis counts at different off-axis angles



Hard X-ray photons are more difficult to focus

➔ **Vignetting**

XMM-*Newton:* mirror effective (geometric) area



$$\vartheta_{crit} \propto \frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{E}$$

XMM-*Newton:* quantum efficiency





Strong decrease in the QE above 10 keV, where also the effective area due to the mirrors has a significant decrease

XMM-*Newton:* effective area



XMM-*Newton:* vignetting



Strong vignetting (as expected) for high-energy photons, partly compensated by the large effective area (e.g., wrt. *Chandra*)

You willl account for all this information creating a file named arf (ancillary response file)

Chandra



XMM-Newton



$$\vartheta_{crit} \propto \frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{E}$$

Mirrors and PSF





Optical band=seeing

Intrinsic limit (_=1.22 ℓ/D)
+ operations…

X-rays= mirrors properties
+ mirror array assemply

<u>Point Spread Function (PSF)</u> – describes the response of an imaging system to a point source or point object.

HEW (PSF), FWHM (PSF) = angular resolution

PSF = function of (x,y) or (r, ϑ).

High Resolution Mirror Assembly (HRMA): On-axis PSF

Radius encompassing NN% of the counts as a function of the energy





Encircled energy vs. radius at different energies



On-axis PSF size and shape

High Resolution Mirror Assembly (HRMA): Off-axis PSF





CDF-N 2Ms exposure

Resulting image on the focal plane of ACIS



XMM-*Newton:* the EPIC on-axis PSF



spider-like pattern due to the support of the Wolter I mirrors

Mirror module	2	3	4
Instr. chain[a]	pn	MOS-1+RGS-1	MOS-2+RGS-2
	orbit/ground	orbit/ground	orbit/ground
FWHM [″]	$< 12.5^{b}$/6.6	4.3/6.0	4.4/4.5
HEW [″]	15.2/15.1	13.8/13.6	13.0/12.8

PSF FWHM higher than in *Chandra* but much larger effective area
Background (and confusion limit) can be an issue

XMM-*Newton:* the EPIC on-axis PSF



Encircled energy vs. radius
at different energies for the MOS1-2

Encircled energy vs. radius
at different energies for the pn



XMM-*Newton:* the EPIC off-axis PSF



90% radius (radius encompassing 90% of the incoming photons) vs. off-axis angle for the MOS1-2 at different energies

90% radius vs. off-axis angle for the pn at different energies



XMM-*Newton:* effective area dependence on the filter choice



To avoid contamination from bright, soft objects (e.g., stars), a medium/thick filter is adopted

Last but not least….

Energy resolution

Chandra: energy resolution



Typical CCD resolution
100-150 eV

XMM-*Newton:* energy resolution



$\Delta E(FWHM)/E \propto E^{-1/2}$ (E in keV)

You willl account for all this information creating a file named rmf (redistribution matrix file)