The foundamental parameters of X-ray telescopes

What happens

## M. Dadina, C. Vignali



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {.. a X-ray } \\
& \text { source... }
\end{aligned}
$$

.mirrors,
centrators

INPUTS
Source photons+
Mirrors response+
Detector response+
All kinds of
Backgrounds



Take into account telescope response... and remaining bgds

Remove "some" backgrounds and malfunctioning
..since the birth of X-ray Astronomy in 1962, improvements were carried out in terms of
sensitivity, angular resolution, energy resolution and energy bandpass


## The Golden age of X-ray Astronomy



XMM-Newton


Chandra


Suzaku

## Final note......

## Sensitivity:




## Reducing the $B$.

Increasing the collecting/effective Area


S/N increases......
(....but sometime also the bgd increases)
the ESA (XMM-Newton) way


Chandra: very good spatial resolution and low backgroud XMM-Newton: large effective area but worse PSF and higher background

## Angular resolution <br> (PSF FWHM, on-axis vs. off-axis, ...)

## Mirrors and PSF



Point Spread Function (PSF) - describes the response of an imaging system to a point source or point object.

HEW (PSF), FWHM (PSF) = angular resolution
PSF $=$ function of $(x, y)$ or $(r, \vartheta)$.

## Chandra

## High Resolution Mirror Assembly (HRMA): On-axis PSF



Encircled energy vs. radius at different energies

## Radius encompassing NN\% of the counts as a function of the energy




On-axis PSF size and shape

## High Resolution Mirror Assembly (HRMA): Off-axis PSF



CDF-N 2Ms exposure

## Resulting image on the focal plane of Chandra-ACIS



## XMM-Newton: the EPIC on-axis PSF



| Mirror module | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Instr. chain ${ }^{a}$ | pn | MOS-1+RGS-1 | MOS-2+RGS-2 |
|  | orbit/ground | orbit/ground | orbit/ground |
| $F W H M\left[{ }^{\prime \prime}\right]$ | $<12.5^{b} / 6.6$ | $4.3 / 6.0$ | $4.4 / 4.5$ |
| $H E W\left[{ }^{\prime \prime}\right]$ | $15.2 / 15.1$ | $13.8 / 13.6$ | $13.0 / 12.8$ |

PSF FWHM higher than in Chandra but much larger effective area Background (and confusion limit) can be an issue

## XMM-Newton: the EPIC on-axis PSF



Encircled energy vs. radius at different energies for the MOS1-2

Encircled energy vs. radius at different energies for the pn


## XMM-Newton: the EPIC off-axis PSF


$90 \%$ radius (radius encompassing $90 \%$ of the incoming photons) vs. off-axis angle for the MOS1-2 at different energies
$90 \%$ radius vs. off-axis angle for the pn at different energies


## Chandra and XMM-Newton <br> (telescopes and CCD detectors)

## Chandra = angular resolution



Only four, robust shells
High-quality of shell production to allow <arcsec on-axis angular resolution (the best so far in X-rays)

To focus X-rays, angles < critical angle for total reflection are needed

$$
\vartheta_{c r i t} \propto \frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{E}
$$

## High Resolution Mirror Assembly (HRMA)



Ottica Wolter Type-I<br>Mirror diameters:<br>1.23, 0.99, 0.870 .65 m<br>Mirror lengths: 84 cm<br>HRMA mass: 1500 kg<br>Focal length: 10 m<br>PSF FWHM: 0.5"

## Chandra focal-plane detectors: CCDs



## XMM-Newton = large effective area

3 modules, 58 shells



## XMM-Newton: all instruments at work simultaneously




# Effective area 

(and its dependencies)

## Mirrors and Effective Area



- Effective area - it is the area "encoded" in the ARF [cm²]
- Geometric area - "cross-section" of the telescope
- Reflectivity - fraction of photons reflected by the mirros (function of energy)
- Vignetting - quantifies the fraction of "lost" photons (function of the offaxis angle from the optical axis, $\vartheta$, and the energy of the incoming photon)
- Quantum Efficiency - fraction of incident photons on the detector actually registered by the detector. In the case of CCD, QE=f(energy, position on the detector)


## Chandra High Resolution Mirror Assembly (HRMA): Effective Area



Effective area vs. Energy


Effective area vs. off-axis angle at different energies

## Chandra: Effective area



## Chandra: vignetting

Ratio of the off-axis vs. on-axis counts at different off-axis angles


Hard X-ray photons are more difficult to focus $\rightarrow$ Vignetting

## Chandra: Quantum efficiency



## XMM-Newton: mirror effective (geometric) area



## XMM-Newton: effective area



## XMM-Newton: vignetting



Strong vignetting (as expected) for high-energy photons, partly compensated by the large effective area (e.g., wrt. Chandra)

## XMM-Newton: quantum efficiency




Strong decrease in the QE above 10 keV , where also the effective area due to the mirrors has a significant decrease

## XMM-Newton: effective area dependence on the filter choice



To avoid contamination from bright, soft objects (e.g., stars), a medium/thick filter is adopted

# You willl account for all this information 

 creating a file named arf (ancillary response file)Chandra


XMM-Newton

## Spectral (energy) resolution

Chandra: energy resolution
Typical CCD resolution $100-150 \mathrm{eV}$ at 6 keV
$\Delta \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{FWHM}) / \mathrm{E} \propto \mathrm{E}^{-1 / 2}(\mathrm{E}$ in keV$)$

## XMM-Newton: energy resolution





# You willl account for all this information creating a file named rmf (redistribution matrix file) 




Two multilayer coded optics, CZT detectors, 10-m focal length


Grazing incidence optics


| Satellite <br> (instrument) | Sensitivity |
| :---: | :---: |
| INTEGRAL <br> (ISGRI) | $\sim 0.5 \mathrm{mCrab}$ <br> $(20-100 \mathrm{keV})$ with $>\mathrm{Ms}$ <br> exposures |
| Swift | $\sim 0.8 \mathrm{mCrab}$ <br> $(15-150 \mathrm{keV})$ with $>\mathrm{Ms}$ <br> exposures |
| NuSTAR | $1 \mu \mathrm{Crab}$ <br> $(10-40 \mathrm{keV})$ in 1 Ms |

## Sensitivity comparison

## 1 Ms Sensitivity

$3.2 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{erg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{s}(6-10 \mathrm{keV})$
$1.4 \times 10^{-14}$
( $10-30 \mathrm{keV}$ )

Imaging

| HPD | $58 "$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| FWHM | $16^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Localization | $2 "$ (1-sigma) |

## Timing

relative 100 microsec absolute 3 msec

Spectral response energy range $3-79 \mathrm{keV}$ threshold $\quad 2.0 \mathrm{keV}$ $\Delta \mathrm{E} @ 6 \mathrm{keV} \quad 0.4 \mathrm{keV}$ FWHM $\Delta \mathrm{E} @ 60 \mathrm{keV} 1.0 \mathrm{keV}$ FWHM

Target of Opportunity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { response }<24 \mathrm{hr} \text { (reqmt) } \\
& \text { typical } \quad 6-8 \text { hours } \\
& 80 \% \text { sky accessibility }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Focal Plane Detector

| Focal Plane <br> Parameter | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Detector Anode | 32 pixel $\times 32$ pixel |
| Pixel Size | $0.6 \mathrm{~mm} / 12.3^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Focal Plane Size | $12^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$ |
| Energy threshold | 2 keV |
| Time resolution | 2 ms |
| Dead time fraction <br> (at threshold) | $5 \%$ |
| Max processing rate | 400 events s $^{-1}$ module ${ }^{-1}$ |
| Max. flux meas. rate | $10^{4}$ counts s $^{-1}$ |

Number of FPDs: 2
Material: CdZnTe
1 FPD $\Rightarrow 4$ detectors ( $2 \times 2$ array) Detector area $=2 \times 2 \mathrm{~cm}$ Detector thickness $=2 \mathrm{~mm}$


## Focal Plane Detector

${ }^{57}$ Co spectrum of one CZT pixel (gamma-ray lines at $6.40,7.06,14.4,122$ and 136 keV).

The 146 keV peak is produced by the test pulser.


Operating settings: Temperature $=278 \mathrm{~K}$ High voltage $=-450 \mathrm{~V}$ Acquisition time $=$ one day

Energy resolution:
@ $14.4 \mathrm{keV}=0.5 \mathrm{keV}$
@ $122 \mathrm{keV}=0.9 \mathrm{keV}$


## Cassiopeia A



Red: NuSTARFe
Blue: NuSTAR $10-25 \mathrm{keV}$ Green: Chandra $4-6 \mathrm{keV}$

